Protecting Local Government Retirement and Benefits Act Corrective Action Plan:

Defined Benefit Pension Retirement Systems

Issued under authority of Public Act 202 of 2017.

I. MUNICIPALITY INFORMATION	
Local Unit Name: City of Charlotte	Six-Digit Muni Code: 232010
Defined Benefit Pension System Name: MERS	
Contact Name (Administrative Officer): Gregg Guetschow	
Title if not Administrative Officer: City Manager	
Email: gguetschow@charlottemi.org	Telephone: 517-543-8852

2. GENERAL INFORMATION

Corrective Action Plan: An underfunded local unit of government shall develop and submit for approval a corrective action plan for the local unit of government. The local unit of government shall determine the components of the corrective action plan. This Corrective Action Plan shall be submitted by any local unit of government with at least one defined benefit pension retirement system that has been determined to have an underfunded status. Underfunded status for a defined benefit pension system is defined as being less than 60% funded according to the most recent audited financial statements, and, if the local unit of government is a city, village, township, or county, the annually required contribution (ARC) for all of the defined benefit pension retirement systems of the local unit of government is greater than 10% of the local unit of government's annual governmental fund revenues, based on the most recent fiscal year.

Due Date: The local unit of government has **180 days from the date of notification** to submit a corrective action plan to the Municipal Stability Board (the Board). The Board may extend the 180-day deadline by up to an additional 45 days if the local unit of government submits a reasonable draft of a corrective action plan and requests an extension.

Filing: Per Sec. 10(1) of PA 202 of 2017 (the Act), this Corrective Action Plan must be approved by the local government's administrative officer and its governing body. You must provide proof of your governing body approving this Corrective Action Plan and attach the documentation as a separate PDF document. Per Sec. 10(4) of the Act, failure to provide documentation that demonstrates approval from your governing body will result in a determination of noncompliance by the Board.

The submitted plan must demonstrate through distinct supporting documentation how and when the local unit will reach the 60% funded ratio. Or, if the local unit is a city, village, township, or county, the submitted plan may demonstrate how and when the ARC for all of the defined benefit pension systems will be less than 10% of annual governmental fund revenues, as defined by the Act. Supporting documentation for the funding ratio and/or ARC must include an actuarial projection, an actuarial valuation, or an internally developed analysis. The local unit must project governmental fund revenues using a reasonable forecast based on historical trends and projected rates of inflation.

The completed plan must be submitted via email to Treasury at LocalRetirementReporting@michigan.gov for review by the Board. If you have multiple underfunded retirement systems, you are required to complete separate plans and send a separate email for each underfunded system. Please attach each plan as a separate PDF document in addition to all applicable supporting documentation.

The subject line of the email(s) should be in the following format: Corrective Action Plan-2017, Local Unit Name, Retirement System Name (e.g. Corrective Action Plan-2017, City of Lansing, Employees' Retirement System

Pension Plan). Treasury will send an automatic reply acknowledging receipt of the email. Your individual email settings must allow for receipt of Treasury's automatic reply. This will be the only notification confirming receipt of the application(s).

Municipal Stability Board: The Municipal Stability Board (the Board) shall review and vote on the approval of a corrective action plan submitted by a local unit of government. If a corrective action plan is approved, the Board will monitor the corrective action plan for the following two years, and the Board will report on the local unit of government's compliance with the Act not less than every two years.

Review Process: Following receipt of the email by Treasury, the Board will accept the corrective action plan submission at the next scheduled meeting of the Board. The Board shall then approve or reject the corrective action plan within 45 days from the date of the meeting.

Considerations for Approval: A successful corrective action plan will demonstrate the actions for correcting underfunded status as set forth in Sec. 10(7) of the Act (listed below), as well as any additional solutions to address the underfunded status. Please also include steps already taken to address your underfunded status as well as the date prospective actions will be taken. A local unit of government may also include in its corrective action plan, a review of the local unit of government's budget and finances to determine any alternative methods available to address its underfunded status. A corrective action plan under this section may include the development and implementation of corrective options for the local unit of government to address its underfunded status. The corrective options as described in Sec. 10(7) may include, but are not limited to, any of the following:

- (i) Closing the current defined benefit plan.
- (ii) Implementing a multiplier limit.
- (iii) Reducing or eliminating new accrued benefits.
- (iv) Implementing final average compensation standards.

Implementation: The local unit of government has up to 180 days after the approval of a corrective action plan to begin to implement the corrective action plan to address its underfunded status. The Board shall monitor each underfunded local unit of government's compliance with this act and any corrective action plan. The Board shall adopt a schedule, not less than every 2 years, to certify that the underfunded local unit of government is in substantial compliance with the Act. If the Board determines that an underfunded local unit of government is not in substantial compliance under this subsection, the Board shall within 15 days provide notification and report to the local unit of government detailing the reasons for the determination of noncompliance with the corrective action plan. The local unit of government has 60 days from the date of the notification to address the determination of noncompliance.

3. DESCRIPTIONS OF PRIOR ACTIONS

Prior actions are separated into three categories below: System Design Changes, Additional Funding, and Other Considerations. Please provide a brief description of the prior actions implemented by the local government to address the retirement system's underfunded status within the appropriate category section. Within each category are sample statements that you may choose to use to indicate the changes to your system that will positively affect your funded status. For retirement systems that have multiple divisions, departments, or plans within the same retirement system, please indicate how these changes impact the retirement system as a whole.

Please Note: If applicable, prior actions listed within your waiver application(s) may also be included in your corrective action plan.

Please indicate where in the attached supporting documentation these changes are described and the impact of those changes (i.e. what has the local unit of government done to improve its underfunded status, and where can we find the proof of these changes in the supporting documentation?). Note: Please provide the name of the system impacted, the date you made the change, the relevant page number(s) within the supporting documentation, and the resulting change to the system's funded ratio. **Category of Prior Actions:** System Design Changes - System design changes may include the following: Lower tier of benefits for new hires, final average compensation limitations, freeze future benefit accruals for active employees in the defined benefit system, defined contribution system for new hires, hybrid system for new hires, bridged multiplier for active employees, etc. Sample Statement: The system's multiplier for current employees was lowered from 2.5X to 2X for the General Employees' Retirement System on January 1, 2017. On page 8 of the attached actuarial supplemental valuation, it shows our funded ratio will be 60% by fiscal year 2020. Effective July 1, 2012, all defined benefit plans were closed to new hires. New hires are enrolled in hybrid plans that cap annual employer contributions. The chart on page 8 of the City's 2018 actuarial valuation, attachment 2a, shows that the funded ratio will be 60% by fiscal year 2030. Additional Funding - Additional funding may include the following: Voluntary contributions above the actuarially determined contribution, bonding, millage increases, restricted funds, etc. Sample Statement: The local unit provided a lump sum payment of \$1 million to the General Employees' Retirement **System** on **January 1, 2017**. This lump sum payment was in addition to the actuarially determined contribution (ADC) of the system. The additional contribution will increase the retirement system's funded ratio to 61% by 2025. Please see page 10 of the attached enacted budget, which highlights this contribution of \$1 million. Other Considerations – Other considerations may include the following: outdated Form 5572 information, actuarial assumption changes, amortization policy changes, etc. Sample Statement: The information provided on the Form 5572 from the audit used actuarial data from 2015. Attached is an updated actuarial valuation for 2017 that shows our funded ratio has improved to 62% as indicated on page 13.

4. DESCRIPTION OF PROSPECTIVE ACTIONS

The corrective action plan allows you to submit a plan of prospective actions which are separated into three categories below: System Design Changes, Additional Funding, and Other Considerations. Please provide a brief description of the additional actions the local government is planning to implement to address the retirement system's underfunded status within the appropriate category section. Within each category are sample statements that you may choose to use to indicate the changes to your system that will positively affect your funded status. For retirement systems that have multiple divisions, departments, or plans within the same retirement system, please indicate how these changes impact the retirement system as a whole.

changes (i.e. what will the local unit of government do to improve its underfunded status, and where can we find the proof of these changes in the supporting documentation?).
Category of Prospective Actions:
System Design Changes - System design changes may include the following: Lower tier of benefits for new hires, final average compensation limitations, freeze future benefit accruals for active employees in the defined benefit system, defined contribution system for new hires, hybrid system for new hires, bridged multiplier for active employees, etc.
Sample Statement : Beginning with summer 2018 contract negotiations, the local unit will seek to lower the system's multiplier for current employees from 2.5X to 2X for the General Employees' Retirement System . On page 8 of the attached actuarial supplemental valuation, it shows our funded ratio would be 60% funded by fiscal year 2020 if these changes were adopted and implemented by fiscal year 2019 .
Additional Funding – Additional funding may include the following: voluntary contributions above the actuarially determined contribution, bonding, millage increases, restricted funds, etc.
Sample Statement: Beginning in fiscal year 2019, the local unit will provide a lump sum payment of \$1 million to the General Employees' Retirement System. This lump sum payment will be in addition to the actuarially determined contribution (ADC) of the system. The additional contribution will increase the retirement system's funded ratio to 61% by 2025. Please see page 10 of the attached enacted budget, which highlights this contribution of \$1 million. Please see page 12 of the attached supplemental actuarial valuation showing the projected change to the system's funded ratio with this additional contribution.
The City Council will consider proposing millage dedicated to address the MERS unfunded accrued liability or imposing a public safety special assessment to address MERS unfunded accrued liability for police and fire pensions or a combination of the two. It is expected that action on these matters will occur prior to December 31, 2020.
Other Considerations – Other considerations may include the following: outdated Form 5572 information, actuarial assumption changes, amortization policy changes, etc.
Sample Statement: Beginning in fiscal year 2019 , the local unit will begin amortizing the unfunded portion of the pension liability using a level-dollar amortization method over a closed period of 10 years . This will allow the retirement system to reach a funded status of 62% by 2022 as shown in the attached actuarial analysis on page 13 .

5. CONFIRMATION OF FUNDING Please check the applicable answer:	
	0.4
Do the corrective actions listed in this plan allow for to make, at a minimum, the annual required contribut your long-term budget forecast?	(insert local unit name) City of Charlotte ion payment for the defined benefit pension system according to
☐ Yes ☑ No If No, Explain Corrective action plan funding	ng contemplates future imposition of voter-approved
dedicated millage or a public	c safety special assessment. See attachment 1a-2.
6. DOCUMENTATION ATTACHED TO THE	S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN
corrective action plan that would be implemented to	orrective Action Plan. The documentation should detail the adequately address the local unit of government's underfunded s part of this plan and attach in successive order as provided
than one document in a specific category that needs to	ease use the naming convention shown below. If there is more to be submitted, include a, b, or c for each document. For ations, you would name the first document "Attachment 2a" and
Naming Convention	Type of Document
	This Corrective Action Plan Form (Required)
★ Attachment – Ia	Documentation from the governing body approving this Corrective Action Plan (Required)
★ Attachment – 2a	An actuarial projection, an actuarial valuation, or an internally developed analysis, which illustrates how and when the local unit will reach the 60% funded ratio. Or, if the local unit is a situ village township or sounty
	if the local unit is a city, village, township, or county, ARC will be less than 10% of governmental fund revenues, as defined by the Act. (Required)
☐ Attachment – 3a	Documentation of additional payments in past years that is not reflected in your audited financial statements (e.g. enacted budget, system provided information).
☐ Attachment – 4a	Documentation of commitment to additional payments in future years (e.g. resolution, ordinance)
☐ Attachment — 5a	A separate corrective action plan that the local unit has approved to address its underfunded status, which includes documentation of prior actions, prospective actions, and the positive impact on the system's funded ratio
☐ Attachment –6a	Other documentation not categorized above

Please confirm that each of the four corrective action plan criteria listed below have been satisfied when submitting this document. Specific detail on corrective action plan criteria can be found in the Corrective Action Plan Development: Best Practices and Strategies document.		
Corrective Action Plan Criteria	Description	
☑ Underfunded Status	Is there a description and adequate supporting documentation of how and when the retirement system will reach the 60% funded ratio? Or, if your local unit is a city, village, township, or county, how and when the ARC of all pension systems will be less than 10 percent of governmental fund revenues?	
■ Reasonable Timeframe	Do the corrective actions address the underfunded status in a reasonable timeframe (see CAP criteria issued by the Board)?	
■ Legal and Feasible	Does the corrective action plan follow all applicable laws? Are all required administrative certifications and governing body approvals included? Are the actions listed feasible?	
□ Affordability	Do the corrective action(s) listed allow the local unit to make the annual required contribution payment for the pension system now and into the future without additional changes to this corrective action plan?	
8. LOCAL UNIT OF GOVERNMENT'S ADMI ACTION PLAN	NISTRATIVE OFFICER APPROVAL OF CORRECTIVE	
I Gregg Guetschow, as the government's administrative officer (enter title) City Manager		
The MERS Pension Plan (Insert Retirement Pension System Name) will achieve a funded status of at least 60% by Fiscal Year 2030 as demonstrated by required supporting documentation listed in section 6.		
	as demonstrated by required supporting documentation	
	as demonstrated by required supporting documentation	
OR, if the local unit is a city, village, township, o	as demonstrated by required supporting documentation or county: rement systems of	