

COUNCIL PROCEEDINGS
Regular Meeting
October 8, 2018

CALL TO ORDER: By Mayor Lewis on Monday, October 8, 2018 at 7:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Councilmembers Dyer, Johnston, Ridge, Russo, Mitchell, Mayor Pro-Tem Baker, Mayor Lewis, City Clerk Terpstra, City Manager Guetschow and Attorney Hitch.

The invocation was offered by Pastor Shane Bryant, Charlotte Assembly of God Church followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF September 24, 2018: Mayor Pro-Tem Baker moved, supported by Mitchell to approve the regular meeting minutes of September 24, 2018 as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

ABSENT: None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

ZBA HEARING- 407 S. COCHRAN-DAIRY QUEEN:

Attorney Hitch gave an overview of what had transpired on this issue up until present. He stated that at the meeting of September 24th the council came to a consensus in which he prepared and made available to counsel for Mr. Roberts. He stated that this should be closed with no additional evidence being introduced at this time. He noted that the written arguments from Mr. Stanley should be

included. (Exhibit A attached to these minutes). Attorney Hitch stated that he does not feel it is timely to include letter from Customusic that is included in what council was provided by Mr. Roberts tonight. He stated that this would be out of order.

Mr. Stanley was gave a brief statement on why he believes this should be included into the record for consideration as they were asked about this at the last meeting.

Discussion was held among the council on whether or not to allow this new information to be added into the record for consideration.

Mr. Stanley, counsel for Mr. Roberts, stated that the readings had been taken the readings and feels that they got sand bagged at the last meeting and believes this information should be admitted into the record.

Mayor Pro-Tem Baker stated that he does not feel that this will change his mind.

Council member Mitchell stated that he believes the more information the better for making a decision.

Council member Johnston stated that he would defer to Attorney Hitch's recommendation.

Council member Russo stated that he would like to hear from both sides. He stated that the neighbors have had ample time and feels that Mr. Roberts should have time.

Attorney Hitch stated that it was up to council on whether they wanted to admit this information into the record at this time.

Mr. Stanley stated that this was first brought up at the previous meeting and feels they were sandbagged.

Council member Ridge stated that they had been asked about this prior and would concur that there has been ample time to provide this. She stated that she will not change her mind.

Council member Dyer stated that he thinks it will be fine with the evidence being admitted. It will give more to make the best decision.

Attorney Hitch stated that they could still add it to the record since they had reviewed it.

Mayor Lewis concurred with Mayor Pro-Tem Baker.

Mayor Pro-Tem Baker moved, supported by Ridge to include the errors and omissions in property findings along with the last page from Customusic be included into the record. Carried. 6 Yes (Baker, Johnston, Mitchell, Ridge, Dyer, Lewis) 1 No. (Russo)

Mayor Pro-Tem Baker moved, supported by Ridge to approve the findings as presented by the City Attorney in affirming the decision of the Planning Commission. Carried. 5 Yes. (Baker, Johnston, Ridge, Dyer, Lewis) 2 No. (Mitchell, Russo) Attached

to these minutes are the findings approved by the board and the counter statement that was submitted by the petitioner. The council reviewed them and took no action regarding their contents.

PUBLIC HEARING – RV PARKING/ACCESSORY BUILDINGS ORDINANCE 2018-05 AMENDMENT – CHAPTER 82-454:

Community Development Director Myrkle gave an overview of what has been discussed as changes to the existing ordinance. He also noted that temporary car port regulations are proposed to be added to the ordinance. He noted the change to the height of buildings that could be built to accommodate storage of RV vehicles.

Public hearing opened: 7:24 P.M.

Caroline Jacobs, Kinsel Hwy., asked why people can't vote on these ordinance changes.

Attorney Hitch and City Manager Guetschow addressed this question and explained the procedure for changing ordinances.

Ben Phlegar, 425 Horatio, thanked Bryan Myrkle for answering his questions. He stated his concern with the height of the buildings and people being able to build large pole barn structures on their property. He stated his concern for two empty lots near his residence that he fears pole buildings being built on. He applauded that fact of trying to make the ordinance more enforceable. He stated his

appreciation for the effort and hopes that it will be enforced. He stated his only opposition was to the height change.

Ned Dills, 220 Prairie, stated that he has been involved with this issue from the beginning. He has a large RV. He stated that the bigger motor homes need a 14' door to get them in. He stated that he would like to build a structure to house his RV and it would be a nice structure to match his home, not a pole barn. He suggested that he would even like to see them go to 20'.

Vonda Warren, 602 E. Shaw, stated that she has a small 21' trailer that she can't afford to store anywhere else and asked to be able to store it in her driveway.

Public Hearing Closed: 7:34 P.M.

Community Development Director Myrkle clarified how the height is determined. It is figured from half way between the eave and the peak of the building. Therefore, it could be as high as 20'. He also clarified that someone can't build only a pole barn on a vacant lot.

PUBLIC COMMENT: **Jill Sanborn**, BEHD representative, went over the BEHD Community Highlights and reminded residents to get their flu shots. She stated that locations for shots can be found on vaccinefinder.org. She reminded parents that kids need to be fever free for 24 hours before returning to school. October is SIDS month. There will be a Community Health Assessment held on November 5th at LCC West Campus.

Chris Lavery, 403 S. Cochran, thanked council for voting in favor of neighborhood at the last meeting. He stated there was a lot of discussion about the letter from the sound company at the court hearing. He stated that should have been discussed at the last meeting and not tonight. He stated that the pictures provided by Mr. Roberts showing the traffic congestion on Cochran do not have any leaves on the trees, therefore, it was when they opened and there is no more congestion.

Ben Phlegar, 425 Horatio, applauds council for agreeing with the City Attorney. He stated that he was disappointed with Council member Mitchell's comments at the last meeting regarding having limited empathy with the people that have come before the board and that they cut off their face despite their nose. He finds that disturbing. He thanked Bryan for his clarification on the RV ordinance revisions.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: **Council member Russo moved, supported by Dyer to approve the agenda as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.**

SPECIAL PRESENTATIONS:
LOW TO MOD INCOME SURVEY:

Community Development Director Myrkle gave an overview of the process for conducting a Low to Mod Income Survey. He explained that in the 2010 Census the data showed that our community had 49 ½% that were low to mod income. He stated that \$10,000 was budgeted to complete this survey however, when looking now what would need to be done the price has come in much higher at \$20,000

to \$25,000. Also the economy is much better than in 2010 so the survey very well could come in better than before. A decision needs to now be made in whether the council wants to move forward and go ahead with the survey or wait until the 2020 Census information comes out. If the survey comes out in our favor it would be beneficial for available grant monies. However, if it goes the other way it would be money spent with no return as such. Therefore, there is a high level of risk in which way the survey would go at this time.

Discussion was held among council members. It was the consensus of council not to move forward with the survey at this time.

EXPEDITED RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES

A. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2018-125 REGARDING PAYMENT OF CLAIMS & ACCOUNTS:

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-125

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE EXPENDITURES OF THE CITY FOR OCTOBER 8, 2018

WHEREAS, Section 7.7 (B) of the City Charter requires Council approval for the expenditure of city funds; and

WHEREAS, the September 28, 2018 payroll totaled \$143,511.17; and

WHEREAS, the October 8, 2018 claims total \$204,645.97;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council approves claims and accounts for October 8, 2018 in the amount of \$348,157.14

APPROVAL OF CLAIMS AND ACCOUNTS BY ROLL CALL VOTE: Mayor Pro-Tem Baker moved, supported by Dyer to approve Resolution 2018-125 for expenditures of the City for October 8, 2018 as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

B. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2018-126 CREDIT CARD APPROVAL:

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-126

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE

CREDIT CARD CHARGES FOR AUGUST-SEPTEMBER 2018

WHEREAS, the City has a credit card account and various employees incur charges for the purchase of goods and services for City business purposes through the use of credit cards issued to them; and

WHEREAS, expenses totaling \$3804.25 have been incurred during the period between August 16, 2018 and September 14, 2018 and receipts for these expenses have been reviewed and the amounts approved by the City Manager or City Clerk and a list of those expenses has been provided to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, City Charter requires the Council to authorize expenditures of all City funds;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that credit card charges totaling \$3804.25 for the period August 16, 2018 through September 14, 2018 are hereby approved.

Council member Ridge moved, supported by Mitchell to approve Resolution 2018-126 for credit card expenditures as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

ACTION ITEMS – RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

A. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION NO. 2018-123 TO AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF TRICKLING FILTER DISTRIBUTION ARMS FOR THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT:

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-123

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF TRICKLING FILTER DISTRIBUTION ARMS FOR THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT

WHEREAS, the distribution arms of the trickling filters are an essential component for the proper distribution of water throughout the trickling filters allowing the plant to meet its permit requirements; and

WHEREAS, the two mast arms in the south trickling filter have now deteriorated to a point that they need to be replaced; and

WHEREAS, the trickling filter equipment is proprietary and must be replaced with distribution arms purchased from WesTech at a cost of \$16,000.00 for the equipment with a \$1,200.00 per day field service charge; and

WHEREAS, sealed bids for the installation of the distribution arms will be later this year as the delivery date for the equipment is 26-30 weeks; and

WHEREAS, funding for this project was included in the FY 18-19 Water and Sewer Budget.

WHEREAS, Section 2-186 of the City Ordinances allows for the waiver of sealed bids for purchases over the amount of \$5,000.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the City Council approve the purchase of the south trickling filter distribution arms from WesTech for \$16,000.00 plus field service charges and agrees to waive the sealed bid process due to proprietary equipment.

Council member Johnston moved, supported by Dyer to approve Resolution No. 2018-123 to authorize the purchase of trickling filter distribution arms for the Wastewater Treatment Plant as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

B. CONSIDER APPROVAL OF ORDINANCE 2018-01 TO AMEND SECTION 82-454 TO PROVIDE NEW REGULATIONS FOR DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURES AND REGULATION OF RECREATIONAL EQUIPMENT:

§ 82-4 DEFINITIONS.

RECREATIONAL EQUIPMENT. Trailer coaches, travel trailers, pick-up campers or coaches, motorized dwellings, tent trailers, boats, and boat trailers, snowmobiles, horse trailers, dune buggies, race cars, demolition derby cars and other similar equipment and conveyances.

§ 82-59 JURISDICTION.

(A) The Zoning Board of Appeals shall not have the power to alter or change the zoning district classification of any property, nor to make any change in the terms of this chapter, but does have power

to act on those matters where this chapter provides for an administrative review, interpretation, exception or special approval permit and to authorize a variance as defined in this section and laws of the state. These powers include the following.

(3) *Exceptions and special approvals.* To hear and decide, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, requests for exceptions, for interpretations of the zoning map, and for decisions on special approval situations on which this chapter specifically authorizes the Board to pass. Any exception or special approval shall be subject to such conditions as the Board may require to preserve and promote the character of the zoning district in question and otherwise promote the purpose of this chapter, including the following:

82-454 ACCESSORY BUILDINGS AND USES.

Accessory buildings, structures and uses, except as otherwise permitted in this chapter, shall be subject to the following regulations.

(A) Where the accessory building is structurally attached to a main building, it shall be subject to, and must conform to, all yard regulations of this chapter applicable to main buildings.

(B) Accessory buildings shall not be erected in any minimum side or front yard setback.

(C) A building accessory to a residential building may occupy not more than 25% of required rear yard, provided

that in no instance shall the accessory building exceed 100% of the ground floor area of the main building. On a corner lot all of the land to the rear of the house may be utilized in the computation of percent of lot coverage for accessory buildings.

(D) A detached building accessory to a residential building shall not be located closer than ten feet to any main building nor shall it be located closer than five feet to any side or rear lot line. In those instances where the rear lot line is coterminous with an alley right-of-way, the accessory building shall be no closer than one foot to such rear lot line. In no instance shall an accessory structure be located within a dedicated easement right-of-way.

(E) No detached accessory structures in the R-1, R-2, RT, RM-1, RM-2, MH, OS-1, B-1 and P-1 Districts shall exceed 18 feet in height; provided, however, when the detached accessory building is located in a rear yard with a ground elevation lower than that of surrounding the primary residential structure, the height of the accessory building may be measured from a plane parallel to the first floor of the primary residential structure. Accessory structures in all other districts may be constructed to equal the permitted maximum height of structures in such districts.

(F) In no case shall a residential accessory structure have a flat, level roof. All accessory structure roofs must be sloped to allow water drainage.

(G) Temporary carport and storage structures, whether manufactured or home-built, shall adhere to all requirements of section 82-545 for detached accessory structures. Permits for temporary carports and storage structures are limited to a period of two years, and said temporary carport or storage structure shall be removed upon expiration. Temporary carport and storage structures shall be securely fastened to ground in a manner sufficient to prevent its detachment due to wind or weather.

(H) When a building accessory to a residential building is located on a corner lot, the side lot line of which is substantially a continuation of the front lot line of the lot to its rear, such building shall not project beyond the front yard line required on the lot in the rear of such corner lot. When an accessory building is located on a corner lot, the side lot line of which is substantially a continuation of the side lot line of the lot to its rear, such building shall not project beyond the side yard line of the lot in the rear of such corner lot. In no instance shall a building accessory to a residential building be located nearer than ten feet to a street right-of-way line.

(I) Accessory buildings and structures shall be designed and constructed to be compatible with the design and construction of the principal building on the site. Design elements that should be considered in determining compatibility include: exterior building material (which need not be identical to the principal building but compatible in

appearance), roof style and pitch, architectural style, and color.

(J) The provisions concerning the size and height in this section shall not apply to accessory buildings on a farm (such as barns and silos).

(K) Section K rescinded

(L) Wireless transmission antennas and towers are subject to the following the requirements of § 82-459.

(1) A maximum height limit of 60 feet for towers and antennas is permitted in residential districts.

(2) No maximum height limit is required in nonresidential districts.

(3) In all districts a tower or antenna shall be located on the parcel or lot in such manner that the base of the tower or antenna is set back from all property lines not less than the height of the tower or antenna.

(M) Recreational equipment owned by residents of the city may be stored on their individual lots in accordance with the provisions of this section.

(1) All recreational equipment parked or stored shall not be connected to sanitary facilities; and

shall not be occupied, except as provided in subsection (a) of this section.

(a) In any residential district it shall be lawful for only nonpaying guests at a residence to occupy a single recreational vehicle for a period not exceeding 72 hours. The total number of days during which recreational vehicles may be occupied under this subsection shall not exceed 10 in any calendar year on the premises of a dwelling unit.

(2) Recreational equipment shall be maintained in a clean, well-kept state so as not to detract from the appearance of the surrounding area. A suitable, securely fastened weatherproof cover shall be placed on all boats whenever stored outside. In lieu of a cover, canoes and rowboats may be stored such that they do not collect and retain rainwater. Snowmobiles, ATV's, personal watercraft and similar vehicles shall be stored under a suitable, securely fastened weatherproof cover, or stored within an enclosed trailer.

(3) Recreational equipment shall be operable and shall have a current license or registration when applicable.

(4) Recreational equipment stored on residential lots may be parked in the following manner:

(a) Inside any enclosed structure.

(b) Outside in the rear yard on an improved surface (gravel, asphalt or concrete), no closer than 5 feet to any side or rear lot line, and no closer than 10 feet to the primary residential structure.

(c) Outside in the side yard on an improved surface (gravel, asphalt or concrete), no closer than 5 feet to any side or rear lot line, and no closer than 10 feet to the primary residential structure, and provided such parking shall be behind the front face of the primary residential structure.

(d) Outside on the front driveway or improved surface directly adjacent to the front driveway, provided that the vehicle is no closer than 15 feet to the front lot line. The provisions of Section 82-454(k)(4)(c) do not apply to recreational equipment stored under the provisions of this section.

(e) Notwithstanding the provisions of this section, a recreational vehicle may be parked anywhere on the premises, or within

a public right-of-way area where street parking is permitted, during active loading or unloading.

(f) The combined area covered by the dwelling, accessory structures, and the area covered by the outside storage of such units shall not exceed 40% of the net lot area.

(5) The provisions of this section shall not apply to recreational equipment officially designated for barrier-free use in accordance with state law, and used as the regular means of transportation by or for a handicapped person.

(N) A resident of a dwelling unit may have not more than one motorized vehicle for sale on the site of such dwelling unit at any time and in no instance shall vacant residential lots or parcels be utilized for the sale of vehicles. A resident may conduct minor automobile repairs of vehicles of the resident such as oil changes and tune ups between the hours from sunrise to sunset on the property of the resident's dwelling unit; however, in no instance shall a resident repair the vehicle of other than a resident of the dwelling unit on such property. The sale of vehicles from a residential property shall not exceed two vehicles in any one year for a period not to exceed two weeks in any one year.

(O) Freestanding solar panels shall be considered an accessory building and shall be subject to the requirements

for such, together with all other applicable building codes and ordinances.

(P) Wind generators may be permitted in rear yards when the following conditions are met.

(1) The highest point of any portion of the generator shall not exceed 35 feet above the average grade of the lot.

(2) The generator device shall be placed no closer to any side or rear lot line than the total distance between the grade of the lot at the base of the tower and the highest point of any portion of the generator.

(3) The maximum diameter formed by a circle encompassing the outermost portions of the blades or other wind activated surfaces shall not exceed 30% of the distance between the ground and the highest point of any portion of the wind generator. The generator shall be so located that no portion of the structure would penetrate the vertical plane of any adjacent property line if it were to topple over in its normally assembled configuration.

(4) The construction of the tower, blades, base structure, accessory building and wiring shall meet all applicable local building codes and ordinances.

(Q) In all office, service and business districts, rooftop equipment and apparatus shall be screened from ground

level by being housed in a penthouse or structure constructed of the same type of building materials used in the principal structure or by building design.

Mayor Pro-Tem Baker moved, supported by Dyer to approve Ordinance 2018-05 to amend Section 82-454 to provide new regulations for detached accessory structures and regulation of recreational equipment as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

INTRODUCTION OF RESOLUTIONS AND ORDINANCES:

A. CONSIDER FIRST READING OF RESOLUTION NO. 2018-127 TO APPROVE AN AGREEMENT WITH CHARLOTTE RISING:

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-127

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF CHARLOTTE AND CHARLOTTERISING REGARDING THE PROVISION OF SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

WHEREAS, the City Of Charlotte recognizes a need for the provision of supplemental community and economic development services focused in and around downtown Charlotte; and

WHEREAS, CharlotteRising is a local non-profit organization formed for the express purposes of providing community and economic development services in and around downtown Charlotte; and

WHEREAS, the City recognizes the specific efforts of CharlotteRising to improve the economic vitality, appearance, organization and promotion of downtown Charlotte, as being the

services it desires to obtain on behalf of its residents, its business and its downtown property owners; and

WHEREAS, CharlotteRising desires to provide these services through the use paid staff, outside contractual services and volunteer efforts; and

WHEREAS, a formal agreement between the two organizations has been drafted and presented for review and approval.

WHEREAS, such an agreement has been drafted and presented for review and approval.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the City of Charlotte City Council hereby approves the “CONTRACT FOR SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SERVICES,” and authorizes the execution thereof.

Council member Ridge moved, supported by Mithell to approve first reading of Resolution No. 2018-127 to approve an agreement with Charlotte Rising as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

B. CONSIDER FIRST READING OF RESOLUTION NO. 2018=128 TO AUTHORIZE A CONTRACT WITH KENTWOOD OFFICE FURNITURE FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT:

RESOLUTION NO. 2018-128

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE A CONTRACT WITH KENTWOOD OFFICE FURNITURE FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

City Council Minutes 10-08-2018

Page 10 of 12

WHEREAS, this project was identified during the FY18-19 budget approval process for the replacement and upgrade for the old office furniture in the police department, specifically the Detective's Office and Chief's Office; and

WHEREAS, the police department, fire department, clerk's office, and assessor's office have all previously utilized Kentwood Office Furniture for their office designs; and

WHEREAS, Kentwood Office Furniture has given the following quotes: Police Department Detective's Office-\$4,773.34, and the Chief's Office-\$4,735.38; and

WHEREAS, the two quotes each fall under the amount budgeted for the Detective's office of \$5,500 and the Chief's Office of \$5,000;

WHEREAS, this office furniture purchase will keep all offices within city hall and the police department consistent in design and in quality of furniture purchased in order to maintain functionality and longevity;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED That the City enter into a contract with Kentwood Office Furniture to provide the above-mentioned furniture and that the mayor or clerk be directed to sign said contract on behalf of the City.

Council member Johnston moved, supported by Dyer to approve first reading of Resolution No. 2018-128 to authorize a contract

with Kentwood Office Furniture for the Police Department as presented. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

COMMUNICATIONS AND COMMITTEE REPORTS

CITY ATTORNEY REPORT: City Attorney Hitch stated that he prepared the findings, conclusions and decision for council's final review of the Roberts Real Estate case. He stated that he attended the MERS hearing where Ford Hamman has brought a complaint against MERS for not giving him past service credit while working for Jackson. A decision should be available by mid December or early January.

CITY MANAGER REPORT: City Manager Guetschow stated that himself, Clerk Terpstra and the IT consultant met with a representative of BS&A regarding conversion of our accounting software. We are awaiting an updated proposal and will be seeking approval to move forward with the conversion. He stated that he is looking at a software solution for budgeting and hopes to have a recommendation to council by the end of October. He reported that himself and Bryan Myrkle attended the annual Michigan airport planning meeting at MDOTT Aero headquarters. They continue to have an excellent relationship with the Aero staff.

COUNCILMEMBER COMMITTEE REPORTS:

- Council member Ridge reported that there will be a Recreation Co-op Meeting on Thursday, October 11, 2018 at 7 P.M. at Carmel Township.
- Mayor Pro-Tem Baker stated that the Committee for Better Roads will be meeting on October 23rd to set up sub-

committee meetings for study. They are planning to have a report back to City Council by April 2019.

PUBLIC COMMENT: **Ben Phlegar**, 425 Horatio, thanked council for their discussion on the RV Ordinance. He clarified that he only disagreed with the height of the buildings and thanked council for listening to the public comments.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL COMMENTS:

- Council member Johnston thanked Mr. Myrkle and city staff for their work on the ordinance. He thanked Mr. Phlegar for his comments.
 - Council member Russo stated that he felt that the Dairy Queen issue was a sham. He stated that anyone who was here should have been able to talk. He feel the meeting process was used to block the ability to speak. He stated that business investors are watching this and have decided to take their money elsewhere.
 - Council member Ridge thanked everyone who got up and spoke. She stated that the council listens to everyone. She stated she was not at the last meeting as she was in Salt Lake City, UT for ten days as her daughter was married. She then attended the MERS conference where she spoke with city employee Jim Saldana who was enjoying the conference. She thanked Attorney Hitch for his work and stated that you can never please everyone.
 - Mayor Pro-Tem Baker stated that he is sorry that Council member Russo feels that way.
 - Council member Mitchell no comment.
- Council member Dyer asked what the next step would be for the Dairy Queen issue and if there was a court date issued. Attorney Hitch explained the process and stated that there has not been a date set but expects it to move quickly. He thanked Bryan Myrkle for reviewing the ordinance
 - Mayor Lewis thanked Attorney Hitch for being present. He congratulated Bryan Myrkle on his award at the Chamber dinner for all of his efforts with the downtown. He also expressed his appreciation for Clerk Terpstra and Deputy Clerk Walters for all the work that goes into the election process and processing of Absentee Ballots. He reminded residents that all documents that are presented at council are also on the website for viewing. He thanked residents for coming out and for viewing the meetings.
 - Council member Russo thanked Clerk Terpstra for gathering information for him at the last hour in the past week and stated his appreciation.
 - Attorney Hitch stated that he does not think this process was a sham at all.

Council member Johnston moved, second by Ridge to adjourn at 8:51 p.m. Carried. 7 Yes. 0 No.

Mayor Tim Lewis

Ginger Terpstra, City Clerk, CMMC